

Course report

Course name and code: Advanced International Arbitration JU678M

Semester: VT24

Credits: 15

Responsible teacher: Daria Kozłowska-Rautiainen

Number of students: 37

Number of respondents to the feedback form: 11

Description of changes since previous year: No significant changes made since the previous year.

The strengths of the course's according to the students

The students were overall satisfied with the course. What has been emphasized in the feedback was the content of the course and the variety of topics. Moreover, the seminars which allow for active participation in the discussions have been greatly appreciated as well as effective teaching methods including group work and guest lectures. A general satisfaction has been expressed with the main teachers in the course as well as with the guest lecturers.

The weaknesses of the course according to the students

Some students mentioned that they had too little time during the exam. There are also proposals of having more guest lecturers. Most comments revolve around the issue of remote teaching. This is understandable. This year, there was exceptionally more teaching conducted remotely due to one teacher visiting another university, but this will not be an issue next year. There have been some comments regarding a need for more reading, this contradicts the comments from previous years where the students reflected on there being too much reading for seminars. This requires reflection and calibration from the teachers' side.

Teachers' comments


We find that this year's structure of topics and combination of lectures and seminars worked really well. The development of the students has been significant during the course. The changes that we foresee for the next year focus on the format and time frame for the exam. As both of the main teachers will be on campus next year, there will be a suitable number of on campus teaching.

ICAL Advanced International Arbitration VT24

Answer Count: 11


1. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	1 (9,1%)
2	2 (18,2%)
3	1 (9,1%)
4	5 (45,5%)
5. Totally agree	2 (18,2%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




2. The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.

The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	2 (18,2%)
3	2 (18,2%)
4	2 (18,2%)
5. Totally agree	5 (45,5%)
Total	11 (100,0%)




3. The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.

The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	1 (9,1%)
2	2 (18,2%)
3	1 (9,1%)
4	4 (36,4%)
5. Totally agree	3 (27,3%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



4. The examination/assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.

The examination /assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	1 (9,1%)
2	2 (18,2%)
3	3 (27,3%)
4	5 (45,5%)
5. Totally agree	0 (0,0%)
Total	11 (100,0%)



5. On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.

On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.	Number of responses
16 - 19	0 (0,0%)
20 - 23	3 (30,0%)
24 - 27	1 (10,0%)
28 - 31	3 (30,0%)
32 - 35	1 (10,0%)
36 - 39	0 (0,0%)
40 - 43	0 (0,0%)
44 - 47	0 (0,0%)
48 - 51	2 (20,0%)
52 - 55	0 (0,0%)
Total	10 (100,0%)

