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ABSTRACT

To provide a new reconstruction of the deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, in the form of calendar-year time-slices, which are particularly useful for ice sheet modelling, we have compiled and synthesized published geomorphological data for eskers, ice-marginal formations, lineations, marginal meltwater channels, striae, ice-dammed lakes, and geochronological data from radiocarbon, varve, optically-stimulated luminescence, and cosmogenic nuclide dating. This is summarized as a deglaciation map of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet with isochrons marking every 1000 years between 22 and 13 cal kyr BP and every hundred years between 11.6 and final ice decay after 9.7 cal kyr BP.

Deglaciation patterns vary across the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet domain, reflecting differences in climatic and geomorphic settings as well as ice sheet basal thermal conditions and terrestrial versus marine margins. For example, the ice sheet margin in the high-precipitation coastal setting of the western sector responded sensitively to climatic variations leaving a detailed record of prominent moraines and other ice-marginal deposits in many fjords and coastal valleys. Retreat rates across the southern sector differed between slow retreat of the terrestrial margin in western and southern Sweden and rapid retreat of the calving ice margin in the Baltic Basin. Our reconstruction is consistent with much of the published research. However, the synthesis of a large amount of existing and new data support refined reconstructions in some areas. For example, the LGM extent of the ice sheet in northwestern Russia was located far east and it occurred at a later time than the rest of the ice sheet, at around 17–15 cal kyr BP. We also propose a slightly different chronology of moraine formation over southern Sweden based on improved correlations of moraine segments using new LiDAR data and tying the timing of moraine formation to Greenland ice core cold stages.

Retreat rates vary by as much as an order of magnitude in different sectors of the ice sheet, with the lowest rates on the high-elevation and maritime Norwegian margin. Retreat rates compared to the climatic information provided by the Greenland ice core record show a general correspondence between retreat rate and climatic forcing, although a close match between retreat rate and climate is unlikely because of other controls, such as topography and marine versus terrestrial margins. Overall, the time slice reconstructions of Fennoscandian Ice Sheet deglaciation from 22 to 9.7 cal kyr BP provide an...
1. Introduction

Melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, and the threat of accelerated melt in response to future climate warming, has firmly positioned ice sheet deglaciation processes and rates on the global research agenda (Warrick and Oerlemans, 1990; Briner et al., 2009; Church et al., 2013; Stokes et al., 2014). This is because an important implication of accelerated ice sheet melt, in addition to ice sheet mass loss through calving, is an expected rise in global mean sea level, with spatial variations around that mean (Milne et al., 2009; Kopp et al., 2010; Slanger et al., 2014) and resulting challenges for coastal land use. The current condition of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, grown out of highlands but also covering extensive lowlands and subglacial basins below sea level, is similar to the situation of the former Laurentide and Fennoscandian ice sheets at their last maximum positions, and implies ice sheet retreat with margins extending offshore. Future retreat patterns, if recent trends persist, will likely differ starkly for margins that are predominantly terrestrial and those that are terminating in a marine environment. The latter are prone to destabilization and run-away effects through sea level rise and margin thinning (Hughes, 1975; Favier et al., 2014). This insight has been gained from the dynamics and deglaciation histories of the former Northern Hemisphere ice sheets (Kleman and Applegate, 2014) and from measurements and modelling pertaining to the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets (Joughin et al., 2014; Rignot et al., 2014).

At the height of glaciation, during the global Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 26.5-20 thousand years ago [cal kyr BP]; Clark et al., 2009b), a considerable portion of the Northern Hemisphere landmass above 60° N was ice-covered (Denton and Hughes, 1981). Reconstructions of the maximum extent and the timing of initial retreat of these Northern Hemisphere ice sheets has been a research focus for the last 175 years (Agassiz, 1840; Torell, 1872, 1873; Jackson and Clague, 1991). The first deglaciation reconstructions were entirely based on geomorphological and sedimentological/stratigraphical evidence for glaciation. In the absence of a reliable dating technique, the pace of deglaciation was initially inferred from the correlation between sequences of silty light- and clayey dark-coloured sediment couplets. These ‘varves’ formed during summer and winter seasons, respectively, through ice sheet melt, runoff, and proglacial sedimentation. Extensive varve deposits are typically exposed between highest shore lines and the present coasts, and can be used for dating of the ice recession. This is because the age of the first varve overlying the formerly subglacial terrain (typically bedrock or till), denotes the age of deglaciation and therefore the former position of the ice sheet margin (De Geer, 1884, 1912, 1940; Sauramo, 1918, 1923). In a series of seminal studies on the deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, De Geer (1884, 1896, 1912, 1940) developed the Swedish Time Scale (STS) varve chronology (Lidén, 1938; Wohlfarth et al., 1995). In the past three decades many studies have refined the STS (Strömberg, 1985a, b, 1989, 1990; Kristiansson, 1986; Cato, 1987; Andrén, 1990; Brunberg, 1995; Wohlfarth et al., 1995, 1998; Hang, 1997; Lindeberg, 2002), eventually resulting in a correlation of the STS with the Greenland GRIP and NGRIP ice core record layer-counting chronology (Andrén et al., 1999, 2002; Stroeven et al., 2015). These attempts to correlate varve- and ice core chronologies have, however, revealed that hundreds of varves are missing in the STS, thus exposing a key shortcoming of this indirect dating technique (Andrén et al., 2002).

With the advent of radiometric dating techniques (Bard and Broecker, 1992), in particular radiocarbon (Anderson et al., 1947; Arnold and Libby, 1949), the timing of maximum glacier extent, has typically been constrained by the first occurrence of living matter in proglacial lakes dammed by the ice margin (yielding ages older than the maximum ice extent) and in lakes dammed by the end moraine once the ice margin had retreated from its maximum extent (yielding ages younger than the maximum ice extent). Dating the initiation of ice-free conditions using radiocarbon has been the dominant dating-driven ice sheet reconstruction method, and an abundance of minimum age constraints has permitted detailed ice-sheet wide retreat reconstructions (e.g., Dyke et al., 2003; Cyllencreatz et al., 2007).

There are a number of limitations associated with radiocarbon dating in formerly glaciated regions (Hajdas, 2008). Critically, there is dearth of datable organic material in many locations because deglaciation occurred in polar deserts. Given the inevitable delay in organic growth following deglaciation, 14C dates provide minimum limiting ages on deglaciation. In addition, the precision of radiocarbon dating is compromised by the potential incorporation of young carbon contaminants, incorporation of old carbon in the depositional environment (marine reservoir or hard water effects; Snyder et al., 1994), and variations in the atmospheric radiocarbon concentration over time. These combined effects produce similar radiocarbon ages for samples that were deposited hundreds of years apart (radiocarbon dating plateaus). Because of these potential pitfalls, considerable effort has been devoted to the improvement of sample preparation methods and calibration of the radiocarbon chronology (Bard et al., 1990, 1997; Wohlfarth et al., 1995; Reimer et al., 2009, 2013). The best radiocarbon age determinations come from environments where terrestrial macrofossils have been used to constrain the age model (Barnekow et al., 1998).

During recent decades two new dating techniques have emerged, based on the burial of sand through optically-stimulated luminescence (OSL) and the exposure of quartz-bearing clasts and bedrock through measuring concentrations of cosmogenic nuclides. In each case, datable material is abundant in pro-glacial and glacial environments.

The OSL method is based on the build-up of a luminescence signal in quartz grains that are shielded from sunlight through burial (Rhodes, 2011). Exposure to sunlight deletes any previous luminescence dose (bleaches the quartz grain). Hence, OSL can be applied to date the burial of quartz grains (felsspar is also routinely measured) given that two crucial conditions are met: 1) during transport the grains are exposed to sunlight for a duration sufficient to become bleached and; 2) the sample has not been re-exposed (Huntley et al., 1985; Aitken, 1998). Whereas the latter condition can usually be verified in stratified sediments, partial-bleaching is a major obstacle when dating glacial sediments, commonly resulting in an over-estimation of the depositional age of the landform (Fuchs and Owen, 2008; Alexanderson and Murray, 2012b). OSL is therefore typically applied in settings where these conditions are more easily met, such as where aeolian, fluvial, or lacustrine
sedimentation has occurred. Single-grain approaches account for partial bleaching through evaluating the suitability of individual grains in a sample, which strongly improves the reliability of OSL (Murray and Wintle, 2000).

Cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure dating is applied to samples taken from bedrock or boulders chosen for the information they provide on deglaciation (Gosse and Phillips, 2001). Again, the preferred mineral is quartz, in which four nuclides are produced through exposure to cosmic rays: one stable ($^{21}$Ne) and three radioactive ($^{10}$Be, $^{26}$Al, and $^{14}$C) nuclides. Beryllium-10 has been far the most reliable nuclide (Portenga and Bierman, 2011) and has been extensively used in recent decades to construct glacial chronologies around the globe (Stone et al., 2003; Balco and Schaefer, 2006; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2006; Rintenheen et al., 2006; Hein et al., 2010; Heyman, 2014; Rother et al., 2014; Stroeven et al., 2014). Typically, samples are extracted from boulders or end moraine crests, although samples from other landforms (Stroeven et al., 2011) and of bedrock (Fabel et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005) have been shown to also yield useful deglaciation ages. The reliability of cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating for yielding accurate ages of deposition and deglaciation is based on the assumption that the sampled boulder/bedrock surface has been: 1) shielded from cosmic rays prior to the last deglaciation and therefore contains no inherited nuclides; and 2) continuously exposed to the full flux of cosmic rays since deglaciation with no shielding from sediment, snow or vegetation. A breach of assumption 1 would cause erroneously old ages, whereas a breach of assumption 2 would cause erroneously young ages. An evaluation of these assumptions has shown that for sample groups with large age scatter, prior exposure/inheritance is typically less common than incomplete exposure/post-glacial shielding (Heyman et al., 2011). Suites of samples from individual landforms can be statistically analysed to potentially identify anomalous dates and thereby determine accurate landform ages (Applegate et al., 2010, 2012; Heyman, 2014).

An ever increasing availability and handling efficiency of remotely sensed data (aerial photographs, satellite imagery, and LiDAR; Smith et al., 2006) has heralded a resurgence of ice sheet maximum and retreat reconstructions from landforms (Andersen, 1979, 1980, 1981; Lundqvist, 1986, 1994; Boulton and Clark, 1990a, c; Lundqvist and Saarnisto, 1995; Kleman et al., 1997, 2010; Andersen and Pedersen, 1998; Lindström et al., 2000; Ehlers and Gibbard, 2004; Margold et al., 2013). This has led to regional compilations of flow traces (striations, eskers, till lineations, bedrock lineations, basal till fabrics, meltwater channels; e.g., Hättestrand, 1998; Hättestrand and Clark, 2006a) and their inclusion in ice sheet-wide analyses (Kleman et al., 1997; Boulton et al., 2001). Kleman et al. (1997, 2006) grouped coherent patterns of ice flow traces of the same age into flow trace fans (map representations of glacial landform swarms) and combined the undated stacked record of subglacial ice flow traces with dated ice marginal successions to produce a reconstruction of Fennoscandian Ice Sheet evolution over a glacial cycle. LiDAR scanning has produced recent orders-of-magnitude increases in the resolution of elevation data over landscape scales (Dowling et al., 2013). In this compilation we take advantage of LiDAR data to advance our understanding of ice sheet marginal retreat, particularly over southern Sweden (see 5.2.).

Geophysical- and ice sheet-modelling are increasingly used to derive ice sheet reconstructions. These are independent methodologies, the results of which can be evaluated against field evidence (Davis et al., 1999; Lambeck, 1995; Napieralski et al., 2007). As an ice sheet grows and decays, it transfers a shifting load onto Earth’s crust which responds through elastic and visco-plastic deformation. As the crust rebounds following maximum glaciation (termed glacial isostatic adjustment: GIA), its effect is recorded, by shifting relative sea levels. Hence, from large sets of shoreline displacement curves it is possible to separate the effects of eustatic sea level rise (through ice sheet melting) and isostatic rebound, which can then be used to inversely deduce the history of the ice load (Lambeck et al., 1998). Because far-field effects of deglaciation, for example in Antarctica, will have direct, predictable, but uneven influence on regional sea level, such as around Scandinavia, Earth-response models need to be global (Slagen et al., 2014).

Ice sheet models are the most holistic way of addressing the temporal evolution of glaciation for a particular ice sheet (Denton and Hughes, 1981, 2002; Budd and Smith, 1982; Payne et al., 1989; Huybrechts, 1993; Mariart, 1994; Boulton et al., 1995; Holmloek and Fastook, 1995; Hubbard, 1999; Siegert et al., 2001; Kleman et al., 2002; Marshall et al., 2002; Boulton and Hagdorn, 2006; Clason et al., 2014; Seguinot et al., 2015). This is because the extents and thicknesses of ice sheets are calculated over small time increments and over large spatial scales in response to changes in climate (mass balance) forcing. The choice of climate forcing and the conversion of climate to mass balance remains the largest limitation in ice sheet modelling, which necessitates calibration of ice sheet models against field evidence (Li et al., 2007; Napieralski et al., 2007; Seguinot et al., 2014). It is with the aim of providing targets for the evaluation of ice sheet modelling output that we present a new reconstruction of the deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet. Using the new reconstruction we revisit important questions concerning the influence of ice sheet dynamics and paleoclimate forcing on the ice sheet margin history, the pace of retreat for different ice sheet sectors, and the influence of topography on deglaciation patterns and rates. Ice sheet models will ultimately yield the most comprehensive answers to these questions, when properly tuned against the presented deglaciation reconstruction, and provide a framework with which to query the future behaviour of contemporary ice sheets.

At the time of the global LGM a contiguous ice mass covered northern Europe from off-shore western Ireland to onshore northwestern Taimyr Peninsula, on the eastern fringes of the Kara Sea (Svendsen et al., 2004, Fig. 1). From a dynamic perspective, this ice mass consisted of three ice sheets, each of which responded individually to external forcing (geothermal heat, climate, sea level, GI), and which were amalgamated for a relatively brief period of the total ice sheet duration. Following the global LGM the British-Irish Ice Sheet separated from the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet offshore of southern Norway (Clark et al., 2012) and the Barents Sea Ice Sheet unzipped from the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet offshore northern Norway (Bjarnadottir et al., 2014). The focus of our study is the retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet following its isolation from these other ice masses (Fig. 1). Several attempts to establish the deglaciation chronology of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet preclude our efforts (Fig. 2). We present a new reconstruction, which incorporates an abundance of publications during the past 15 years that contain new geomorphological and geochronological data. This is specifically aimed at delivering calendar-year time-slice representations of ice sheet extents for use by ice sheet modellers.

2. Data

2.1. Geomorphology

We begin with a description of the key indicative ice-marginal and subglacial landforms on which the deglaciation reconstruction is primarily based. These include, in a progression from proglacial/ice marginal to subglacial, ice-dammed lakes, marginal meltwater channels, ice-marginal formations (moraines and glacialfluvial deposits), eskers, lineations, and striae. We then review the geochronological tools available for deglaciation reconstructions
(e.g., Hughes et al., 2011), shortly review their strengths and pitfalls, and present the data included in our deglaciation reconstruction.

2.1.1. Ice-dammed lakes

Where ice margins block the natural drainage of ice-free catchments, water ponding may lead to the formation of ice-dammed lakes. Such lakes are inherently unstable and drain catastrophically when the ice dam fails. This occurs when the lake hydrostatic pressure exceeds the ice overburden pressure at the lake outlet, when a retreating ice margin exposes lower terrain, or through overtopping. Glacial lakes have formed with dimensions over many orders of magnitude, from the Baltic Ice Lake (349,000 km²; Jakobsson et al., 2007) to the numerous intermediate- and small-scale ice-dammed lakes impounded between the Scandinavian Mountains and the retreating western margin of the decaying ice sheet (Lundqvist, 1972; Kleman, 1992, Fig. 3). Evidence of former ice-dammed lakes such as shorelines (erosional/depositional), perched deltas, and spillway (overflow) channels are useful tools for reconstructing the ice marginal retreat pattern in areas formerly covered by cold-based ice (Frödin, 1913; Lundqvist, 1973; Jansson, 2003). Fig. 3 shows the post-Younger Dryas extent of ice-dammed lakes in Fennoscandia, compiled from available sources (Lundqvist, 1972, 1973; Melander, 1977; Ulfstedt, 1981; Borgström, 1989; Longva and Thoresen, 1991).

2.1.2. Marginal meltwater channels

Water produced during ice sheet surface melting predominantly runs off the surface and along the ice sheet margin where it abuts higher ground. While flowing along the ice margin, streams erode the ground surface at the junction with the ice and form marginal meltwater channels (Borgström, 1989; Mannerfelt, 1945, 1949; Syverson and Mickelson, 2009). Marginal meltwater channels are typically tens of meters deep, meters wide, and hundreds of meters long, and usually form in subparallel down-slope sequences. Importantly, the slope and orientation of marginal channels occur at oblique angles to the hillslope topography into which they are eroded, and they provide a record of retreating ice margins that is independent of other deglacial landforms (Mannerfelt, 1945; Lundqvist, 1973; Borgström, 1989; Kleman, 1994; Greenwood et al., 2007; Margold et al., 2011). This is because, in contrast to eskers and lineations, marginal meltwater channels form also during deglaciation under cold-based conditions (Kleman, 1992; Dyke, 1993; Hättestrand and Stroeven, 2002; Jansson et al., 2002). Meltwater landforms have therefore been used in our reconstruction primarily where the final deglaciation occurred under cold-based conditions (Kleman, 1992; Kleman et al., 1997, 2006; Kleman and Hättestrand, 1999) (Fig. 4).

2.1.3. Ice-marginal formations

Along the margins of ice sheets, there are several processes by which sediment exits the ice and becomes part of the glacier foreland (Boulton et al., 1985). The sediment frequently becomes concentrated in ice-marginal formations, including end moraines and glaciofluvial deposits that generally mirror the shape and position of former ice margins (Fig. 5). These formations occur commonly along the entire Fennoscandian Ice Sheet margin and indicate either interruptions in ice sheet retreat or re-advances following the LGM. Particularly extensive ice-marginal formations
characterize the eastern and southern ice sheet limits, through Russia, the Baltic countries, Poland, Germany, Denmark, and into Norway (Fig. 6). Hence, series of these formations can be traced inwards from local glacial maximum positions to Younger Dryas positions (Fig. 5), which mark the last ice sheet-wide interruption in margin retreat before complete deglaciation. Where ice-marginal formations are punctuated by gaps of non-deposition or meltwater stream erosion, they can often be extrapolated to each

Fig. 2. Four reconstructions of the deglaciation pattern of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet by a) Lundqvist (1986), b) Lundqvist and Saarnisto (1995), c) Kleman et al. (1997), and d) Boulton et al. (2001).
other assuming lateral ice sheet continuity. To guide pre-Younger Dryas deglaciation patterns of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, we have compiled moraine positions from maps (Fig. S1, Supplementary dataset). Post-Younger Dryas ice-marginal formations are much rarer and so only guide deglaciation patterns regionally, and they can indicate both interruptions of the ice margin retreat and re-advances up to late Preboreal, 10,500 cal years BP (Sveian et al., 1979).

2.1.4. Eskers

Eskers are ridges of coarse-grained sorted sediment deposited in meltwater tunnels at the base of an ice sheet. They can be single ridges or form networks of several parallel ridges. Eskers can be short (hundreds of meters) and straight but more typically are long and winding and can extend for hundreds of kilometers and be tens of meters high (De Geer, 1897; Lundqvist, 1979; Storrar et al., 2014). Because eskers are such recognizable and sizeable landforms, they have been accurately mapped from aerial photographs, and reliable esker maps exist for individual countries (Lundqvist, 1959) as well as for larger regions such as northern Fennoscandia (Nordkalott Project, 1986). For our deglaciation reconstruction of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, we present an ice sheet-wide esker map for shield areas, where they are abundant (Fig. 5). The map is compiled from existing publications (Nordkalott Project, 1986; Niemelä et al., 1993; Hättestrand, 1998; Bargel et al., 1999; Hättestrand and Clark, 2006a; NGU, 2014) and managed in ArcGIS. The esker pattern on
The shrinkage of the cold-based core area of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet during deglaciation from its local LGM maximum position (Kleman et al., 1997; Kleman and Hättestrand, 1999; Hättestrand and Clark, 2006b). The outer blue envelope represents the inferred minimum cold-based extent at LGM. The innermost envelope represents areas inferred to have had cold-based conditions until local deglaciation. The intermediate envelope shows how ice streams in northern Norway, Finland, and the collapse event following a surge in the Gulf of Bothnia (Strömberg, 1989; Lundqvist, 2007; Kleman and Applegate, 2014; Greenwood et al., in press), extended wet-based conditions into the ice sheet in a corridor-like pattern during the decay phase. At any given point in time, the border zone between warm- and cold-based conditions was probably mosaic-like in sheet flow areas (Kleman et al., 1999; Kleman and Glasser, 2007).

Fig. 5 provides a generalized pattern because of the relatively small scales of the source maps, and short eskers (including subglacially engorged eskers) are therefore not included or used for the deglaciation reconstruction. Eskers that formed over the sedimentary bedrock areas west, south, and east of the Baltic Sea are generally smaller and shorter than those over shield areas, and esker compilations generally cover only minor areas (e.g., Rattas, 2007). As eskers have been shown to form within limited distances of the contemporaneous ice margin (Hebrand and Amark, 1989; Kleman et al., 1997), and because water flow directions follow the overburden pressure regime, we use the direction of eskers to guide the overall shape of former ice sheet margins. In our reconstruction, ice sheet margins are always drawn perpendicular to the esker long-axes. It should be noted, however, that there are areas where eskers could not be used for our reconstruction because they did not form during the last deglaciation but, rather, formed during earlier deglaciations. This is the case, for example, in extensive areas of northern Sweden (Lagerbäck and Robertsson, 1988), and Finland (Johansson and Kujansuu, 1995), where eskers of a pre-LGM deglaciation (Helmens et al., 2000) are cross-cut by younger esker systems, are covered by tills, and have kettle-holes with interstadial sediments, all which indicate that these eskers escaped erosion during the last deglaciation through sustained cold-based conditions. In these areas, other meltwater landforms, such as ice-dammed lake traces and marginal meltwater channels, were used to reconstruct retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet during the last deglaciation.

2.1.5. Lineations

The most widely utilised subglacial landform for ice sheet reconstructions is the glacial lineation (Fairchild, 1907; Linton, 1963; Punkari, 1982; Boulton and Clark, 1990a, b; Kleman, 1992; Clark, 1993; Kleman et al., 1997). Lineations are elongated landforms that form parallel to ice flow and are usually referred to as drumlins. Because lineations can be formed through depositional and erosional processes, they may be comprised of diamicts, sorted sediments, and/or bedrock (cf. review by Stokes et al., 2011). Although larger landforms may have formed during multiple glaciations (Hättestrand et al., 2004), and although later generations of lineations do not necessarily erase older lineations (Kleman, 1992), the association of lineations with other deglacial landforms implies that most of these inform the ice flow direction, and therefore the ice surface slope, just prior to deglaciation. Lineations are a particularly useful complement to the directional information contained in eskers because they often form in swarms hundreds of kilometers in extent and may contain tens of thousands of elements (Hättestrand et al., 1999, 2004; Delilgarg Hagström, 2006; Clark et al., 2009a). We have employed the lineation database of Kleman et al. (1997, Fig. 3) in our reconstruction of the last deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet.

2.1.6. Striae

Striae represent the finest-scale imprint of ice flow on bedrock. On outcrops where more than one set of striae are preserved, their cross-cutting relationships may reveal the evolution of ice flow directions (Erdmann, 1868; Lundqvist, 1969) and indicate the ice flow direction closest in time to deglaciation. Lineations and striae both record the ice-flow direction at the time of formation, and could therefore be expected to yield the same information regarding ice flow-evolution. In reality, there are important differences in the information provided by the two data types (Kleman, 1990). Lineations are typically formed from a glacial deposit, and their spatial arrangement means that the continuity and extent of a flow pattern can be visually judged. Striae, on the other hand, are purely erosional bedrock forms and constitute detailed point data even though large collections of striae observations, with less precision than for lineations, still can give a visual imprint of flow patterns. Importantly though, the maximum “time depth” is larger for striae than for lineations. This is because a rock outcrop typically provides facets or steps that are sheltered during later ice flow and may therefore locally preserve older striae. No corresponding local protection mechanisms exists for lineations, except for protection from erosion under cold-based conditions (Kleman et al., 2002); and so preservation of older directional information decreases more directly as a function of subsequent ice flow velocity and duration.

An important property of the composite striae record is that the locally youngest striae may, in some places, indicate deglacial ice flow directions in areas lacking lineation swarms. We have used compilations of striae (first pioneered by Sefström, 1836) to extract the youngest ice flow direction in areas where such information is otherwise absent (Ljungner, 1943). These areas are predominantly along the Gulf of Bothnia (Fig. 6) where the youngest sets of striae indicate the re-advance of an ice lobe (Lundqvist, 2007) and in northwestern Sweden where meltwater landforms and striae can be used to construct final deglaciation ice flow directions in areas characterized by cold-based ice (Kleman, 1990).

2.2. Chronology

No dating technique is applicable in every field setting. whether it be due to limits on the materials available to date or the timescale spanned by the method itself. Consequently, a range of geochronological tools are employed and the most important methods in the Fennoscandian context are as follows.
2.2.1. Radiocarbon dating

Radiocarbon dating of organic material has traditionally been the key chronological tool for defining the timing of deglaciation (e.g., Dyke, 2004). With glacier retreat, new terrain becomes ice free and available for the production, storage, and preservation of organic material in pro-glacial sedimentary archives. With a half-life of 5730 ± 40 years for $^{14}$C, which limits its application to about the last ~50–40 kyr, radiocarbon dating provides chronological constraint on the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet deglaciation (c. 24–10 kyr).

We have compiled a database of 335 published $^{14}$C ages of relevance for the deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet (Table 1, Fig. 7, Supplementary dataset). The $^{14}$C ages are primarily derived from basal sediment in lakes and peat cores and include measurements on both bulk sediment and terrestrial macrofossils. One-third of the $^{14}$C dates in our compilation, mainly from the western and southeastern sectors of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, are derived from sub-till sediment samples. Organic material in these samples pre-dates the glacial advance to the LGM culmination and subsequent retreat to the sample site, and they therefore represent maximum ages of deglaciation.

All radiocarbon ages have been calibrated using OxCal 4.2.
(Bronk Ramsey, 2009) and the Intcal13 curve (Reimer et al., 2013). All marine samples were corrected for a marine reservoir effect, by applying a correction of 300–800 years, according to the original publications (Supplementary dataset), for the presence of old carbon derived from the marine environment (Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975).

2.2.2. Varves, Swedish Time Scale (STS)

The strength of the clay varve chronology, if the varve measuring sites are closely spaced, is that retreat of the ice margin can be resolved more accurately than with any other correlation method, regardless of whether the varve chronology is floating in time or is of calendar-year quality. Fig. 8 exemplifies the level of detail that can be achieved using clay varve correlations. Isochrons are drawn on the basis of clay varve correlations using the age of the oldest varve. In this way the STS should be internally robust for 2400 years of Late Glacial time (Table 2, -2250 to +140 STS varve years or 12,340 to 9950 cal yrs BP; Bergström, 1968; Föö, 1980; Kristiansson, 1986; Strömberg, 1989, 1990, 1994, 2005; Brunnberg, 1995; Wohlfarth et al., 1998). To obtain a complete varve chronology, connecting the Late Glacial varve sequence with postglacial varves that extend to the present (Cato, 1987), over 1300

Fig. 6. Map of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet deglaciation domain with ice-marginal formations and place names mentioned in the main text.
sites have been measured. Despite these efforts, based on AMS $^{14}$C dates on terrestrial macrofossils embedded in the varves (Wohlfarth, 1996), $^{14}$C-dated marker horizons in Swedish lacustrine deposits, central-European tree-ring chronologies, and Greenland ice core records (Björck et al., 1996), it has been shown that hundreds of varves are missing, most probably in the postglacial section of the STS. Estimates of the number of missing varves have varied over time but are generally about 700–900 (Strömberg, 1994; Andrén et al., 2002).

We use the catastrophic drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake, 35 years before the start of the Holocene (Andrén et al., 2002), as an event that can be used to tie the STS to ice core records (Andrén et al., 1999, 2002; Björck et al., 2001), and we specifically explore its link to the NGRIP ice core record (Stroeven et al., 2015). The NGRIP ice core record has been layer-counted across the Younger Dryas/Preboreal (Holocene) transition, yielding an age of 11,700 ± 99 cal years b2k (Walker et al., 2009; Rasmussen et al., 2014), or 11,650 cal years BP. Detailed statistical comparisons between the $^{14}$C record in tree rings and the $^{10}$Be record in ice cores across this boundary (Muscheler et al., 2008, 2014) imply that the ice core record may be 65 years too old. The best estimate of the start of the Holocene is 11,585 cal years BP and the drainage, being 35 years older (Andrén et al., 2002), is therefore pinned to 11,620 cal years BP (Stroeven et al., 2002), as an event which can be tied to the STS varve record. The timing of the Baltic Ice Lake drainage occurred at STS ~1530 (Björck et al., 2001; Andrén et al., 2002) or 10,770 varve years BP, which instils an age difference of 850 years between the two annual records (Table 2). Fig. 8 shows the constraints that the STS varve record offers to ice marginal positions between 13,390 cal years BP (North of Vimmerby; Kristiansson, 1986; Wohlfarth et al., 1998), and 9950 cal years BP (Pautrask; Bergström, 1968) even though our connection between the robust part of the record and the Kristiansson-Wohlfarth section of the record (black series in Fig. 8) remains challenging.
2.2.3. Optically-stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating

OSL dating enables direct dating of sediment deposition and burial, and it can potentially yield accurate minimum ages of deglaciation. However, in practice it appears that some OSL ages are older than the expected deglaciation age for sites in Fennoscandia (Alexanderson and Murray, 2012b; Johnsen et al., 2012). Because this is probably due to incomplete bleaching of, especially, sub-glacial till and proximal glaciofluvial sediment samples (Alexanderson, 2007; Alexanderson and Murray, 2012a), most of the 138 OSL samples that are part of the deglaciation reconstruction (Table 3), concern minimum age constraints for distal glaciofluvial, lacustrine, and eolian sediment samples (Fig. 7; Larsen et al., 1999, 2006, 2014; Strickertsson and Murray, 1999; Lyså et al., 2001, 2011, 2014; Houmark-Nielsen, 2003; Houmark-Nielsen and Kjær, 2003; Kjær et al., 2003a; Kortekaas and Murray, 2007; Kortekaas et al., 2007; Johnsen et al., 2010; Lüthgens et al., 2011; Alexanderson and Murray, 2012b).

2.2.4. Cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating

Cosmogenic surface exposure dating of glacial landforms and deposits has become a key tool for defining glacier and ice sheet chronologies. We present a compilation of published and new $^{10}$Be ($n = 786$) and $^{26}$Al ($n = 74$; eight of these have no corresponding $^{10}$Be measurements) exposure ages for the area covered by the LGM Fennoscandian Ice Sheet (Table 4, Fig. 7; Supplementary dataset). The exposure ages are derived from sampled bedrock surfaces ($n = 284$), glacial boulders ($n = 474$), and cobble/pebble/sediment ($n = 36$). All samples with potential importance for the deglaciation chronology have been included, including samples from bedrock surfaces that have been preserved under cold-based ice (Fabel et al., 2002; Stroeven et al., 2002b; Linge et al., 2006a; Darmody et al., 2008). Most of the new, previously unpublished samples ($n = 132$) are from northern Sweden and Norway ($n = 84$), plus some from the Kola Peninsula, Russia (Fig. 6; $n = 15$), Finland ($n = 17$), and east-central ($n = 4$), west-central ($n = 5$), and...
southwestern Sweden (n = 9). These new samples have been prepared following standard procedures (Fabel et al., 2002, 2006; Stroeven et al., 2002a, 2002b, 2011, in review) and were measured at PRIME Lab, ANSTO, and SUERC over the years 2000–2008 (see Supplementary dataset).

Data for exposure age calculations have been compiled from the original publications and recalculated with a consistent production rate and scaling scheme. We use the reported sample thickness, sample density (adopting 2.65 g cm\(^{-3}\) where not otherwise stated), and topographic shielding and we assume zero surface erosion. An important refinement in our compilation is \(^{10}\)Be standardization. With the \(^{10}\)Be AMS standard calibration of Nishiizumi et al. (2007), it became clear that previously assumed isotope ratios of AMS standards, and reported \(^{10}\)Be concentrations based on those standards, deviate by up to 14% from the new Nishiizumi et al. (2007) standard. We have made an effort to track the applied standardization for all \(^{10}\)Be samples and we regard our dataset as the best available for the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet region. All exposure ages have been calculated using a modified version of the CRONUS calculator (Balco et al., 2008), with the nuclide specific LSD\(_2\) production rate scaling scheme (Lifton et al., 2014), the regional Scandinavian reference \(^{10}\)Be production rate of 3.95 ± 0.10 atoms g\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Stroeven et al., 2015), and the corresponding reference \(^{26}\)Al production rate of 26.71 ± 1.60 atoms g\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). Exposure ages for the CRONUS scaling schemes (Balco et al., 2008) and the two LSD scaling schemes (Lifton et al., 2014), using the regional Scandinavian \(^{10}\)Be reference production rate, are listed in the Supplementary dataset. The exposure ages of the various
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largely constrained by radiocarbon, OSL, and cosmogenic nuclide-derived ages (Fig. 7: Supplementary dataset). For the 17–13 cal kyr BP deglaciation of southern Sweden, we also couple the radiocarbon and cosmogenic nuclide data for ice-marginal positions to climatic events recorded in Greenland ice cores (for a detailed explanation, see section 5.2). For ice-marginal positions younger than 13 cal kyr BP, we use the timing of the retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet from its Younger Dryas position in southern Sweden and Finland as a starting point to build the chronology. When the ice sheet retreated from the northern tip of Mount Billingen, the Baltic Ice Lake (Fig. 3) catastrophically drained to the Kattegat (Fig. 6; Lundqvist, 1921; Johansson, 1926). The event has been dated to 11,620 ± 100 cal years BP using radiocarbon and by correlating this event in the STS with the Pleistocene/Holocene boundary in the NGRIP ice core (Stroeven et al., 2015). We use the internally-consistent varve record between c. 12,340 (Korsberga; Strömberg, 1994) and 9950 (Paustrask; Bergström, 1968) cal years BP (Table 2, Fig. 8) to guide the pace of retreat for this part of the record. Strömberg (1990, 2005) connected the varve record in southern Finland, across Åland (Fig. 6), to the STS, thereby allowing further geochronological control on ice-marginal positions (Fig. 8). Final deglaciation in the Sarek Mountains of northwestern Sweden (Fig. 6) occurred after 9.7 cal kyr BP; in general agreement with the clay varve record and ages derived using radiocarbon and cosmogenic nuclides.

Hence, the method of reconstructing isochrons used here differs between pre- and post-Younger Dryas periods. For regions that were deglaciated before the Younger Dryas, ages of ice-marginal positions were derived from published data. In cases where published ages for mapped ice-marginal formations were inconsistent, groups of samples with consistent ages, or consistent ages using different dating techniques, were considered more reliable than individual ages. In the final step of reconstructing time slices that were 1 kyr apart, some mapped ice-marginal formations were used directly while others were visually interpolated from adjacent ice-marginal formations, generally assuming a steady retreat rate, but giving due consideration to topography. For regions that were deglaciated after the Younger Dryas, clay varve chronology was used to construct retreat isochrons. This was achieved by adopting the principle that isochrons extrapolated away from established ice-marginal positions (Fig. 8) should always be perpendicular to youngest deglaciation ice flow traces, as indicated by eskers, glacial lineations, and striations, and using constraints provided by ice-dammed lakes and meltwater channels. The pace of retreat as derived from the youngest part of the varve record was used to construct the last two isochrons, and the final age of deglaciation was cross-checked against published deglaciation ages.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Deglaciation overview

We present a deglaciation map of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet...
with isochrons marking every 1000 years between 22 and 13 cal kyr BP and every hundred years between 11.6 and 9.7 cal kyr BP (Fig. 9; Video, Supplementary dataset). Abundant literature attests to the difficulties in resolving the dynamic behaviour of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas chronozone, although two to three extensive end moraine belts indicate standstills and re-advances of the ice sheet along most of its margin during this period (Rainio et al., 1995; Lundqvist, 2004; Mangerud et al., 2011; Putkinen et al., 2011). The onset of the Younger Dryas in Scandinavia is delayed by 100 years relative to the Greenland ice core record (GS-1; 12.8–11.7 cal kyr BP; Lohne et al., 2013). Hence, we denote the net retreat distance during the Younger Dryas as an ice-marginal zone spanning 12.7–11.6 cal kyr BP, rather than a series of individual and specific ice marginal positions. The ice marginal zone straddles the last extensive zone of end moraines (including the Ra Moraine system in Norway, the Middle-Swedish end moraine zone, the Salpausselkä I and II moraines in Finland, and the Koitere and probably Rugozero moraines in Russia; Figs. 5 and 6) and it divides a period of retreat interspersed with standstills and re-advances since the LGM from a period with fewer interruptions in retreat up to final deglaciation in the northwestern Swedish Mountains.

The radiocarbon, OSL, and cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al exposure ages included in our deglaciation reconstruction are presented in the Supplementary dataset. Of the 335 radiocarbon samples, 223 yield minimum age constraints for deglaciation because they are part of the post-glacial environment and 112 yield maximum age constraints for deglaciation because they are from sub-till samples. Calibrated radiocarbon ages range from 7.2 ± 0.1 cal kyr BP to 27.7 ± 0.1 cal kyr BP for minimum age-constraint samples and from 16.3 ± 0.7 cal kyr BP to 33.5 ± 0.5 cal kyr BP for sub-till samples. While 125 OSL samples are from sediment layers that were deposited contemporaneously with or postdate the timing of local ice extent, and yield minimum age constraints for deglaciation, 13 are from sub-till samples, yielding maximum age constraints for deglaciation. OSL ages range from 5.9 ± 0.7 kyr to 131.0 ± 8.0 kyr for minimum age-constraint samples, and from 15.1 ± 1.3 kyr to 25.3 ± 1.6 kyr for maximum age-constraint samples. The recalculated $^{10}$Be ($^{26}$Al) exposure ages range from 1.1 ± 0.3 kyr to 456 ± 20 kyr (7.8 ± 0.5 kyr to 107 ± 4.6 kyr) with 74% (59%) of the ages falling in the time window between 9 kyr and 22 kyr. The new $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al exposure ages from Sweden, Norway, and Finland are generally similar to previously published exposure ages, with some sites yielding well-clustered exposure ages in the deglaciation age range and others, primarily located in the north and at high altitude, yielding ages significantly older than the last deglaciation due to cosmogenic inheritance.

### 4.2. Deglaciation history and dynamics

We have subdivided the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet domain into four sectors (Fig. 10) to guide our presentation of the deglaciation history and dynamics of the entire ice sheet. This subdivision is guided by topography and ice sheet deglaciation dynamics.

#### 4.2.1. The western sector

The Norwegian shelf was deglaciated between the local LGM and 14–15 cal kyr BP (Andersen, 1979, 1981; Solid and Torp, 1984). Because of the high precipitation coastal setting, the ice sheet margin in this sector responded rapidly to climatic variations. The most distinct climate variation, the Younger Dryas cold interval, produced the most laterally-continuous moraines (Lundqvist, 1990; Andersen et al., 1995a, 1995b). Stratigraphical evidence for Younger Dryas re-advances of c. 40–50 km have been reported from southwestern to northern Norway (Mangerud, 1977; Andersen et al., 1995b; Bergstrøm et al., 2005; Mangerud et al., 2011). There is limited evidence for ice marginal positions during the Allerød that could shed light on the pre-Younger Dryas ice sheet geometry and illustrate to what extent the ice sheet had retreated. Estimates of ice sheet retreat rely on observations of Allerød sediments, predominantly marine sediments, that were overrun by the Younger Dryas ice sheet (Lohne et al., 2007; Mangerud et al., 2011). Mangerud (1977), for example, reports an estimated minimum retreat of 40 km in the Bergen area (Fig. 6), which implies extensive ice free coastal areas in western Norway during the Allerød. Similar inferences come from the Stavanger area (Fig. 6) and are summarized by Lohne et al. (2007). Observations from the south coast of Norway and the Oslo area reveal less extensive Younger Dryas re-advances of <18 km (Sorensen, 1992; Bergstrøm, 1995). It is thought that the more maritime setting of the western margin, and its proximity to an Atlantic moisture supply, may explain why re-advances on the western margin were more extensive than
elsewhere. In contrast to both younger and older moraines that occur in many valleys, the Younger Dryas moraines can frequently be traced over intervening uplands, with a notable exception for mid-Norway where the Younger Dryas ice margin is poorly mapped in forested and alpine areas. Prominent moraines and associated ice-marginal formations deposited after the Younger Dryas, observed in fjords and coastal valleys (Sveian et al., 1979; Corner, 1980; Andersen et al., 1981; Nesje and Rye, 1990; Rye et al., 1997), reflect standstills or minor re-advances which record a response of the dynamic western margin of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet to the Preboreal oscillation (PBO). Accurate cartographic representation of the dynamic fluctuations at the western ice sheet margin is exceedingly difficult at the ice sheet-scale because of the relatively high degree of topographic complexity over short spatial scales.

Hence, we have simplified our depiction as one of slow but steady retreat over the course of the deglaciation.

4.2.2. The southern sector

The uniform retreat from the local LGM configuration in the southern sector, including the Main Stationary Line in Denmark, the Brandenburg in northern Germany, and the Lezno in Poland (Houmark-Nielsen and Kjær, 2003; Marks, 2012; Rinterknecht et al., 2014) was interrupted by two Young Baltic advances creating the East Jylland and Bælthav ice-marginal formations in Denmark (Fig. 6; Stephan, 2001; Kjær et al., 2003a, b). We follow Houmark-Nielsen and Kjær (2003) in correlating the East Jylland with the Frankfurt and Poznan ice-marginal formations in Germany and Poland, and the Bælthav ice-marginal formation with the
Pomeranian ice-marginal formation in Germany (Fig. 6). The Young Baltic advances show a highly lobate ice margin including a northerly direction in some inter-island straits. A comparison between the 16 and 14 cal kyr BP ice margin configurations in Fig. 9 clearly shows the remarkable contrast in retreat rates of the slow terrestrial margin retreat in western and southern Sweden and the rapid retreat of the calving ice margin in the Baltic Basin following the Young Baltic advances (Duphorn et al., 1979). This may be explained by the 16 cal kyr BP position representing an over-extended ice margin, related to the previous surge in the southwestern Baltic Basin. A thin surge lobe would be highly susceptible to rapid calving and break-up.

4.2.3. The eastern sector

The local LGM was attained as early as 19 cal kyr BP in the southern part of the eastern sector (and has been correlated with the East Jylland-, Frankfurt-, and Poznan ice-marginal formations) and as late as 17 cal kyr BP in the northern part of the eastern sector (Kalm, 2012; Larsen et al., 2014; Lyså et al., 2014), which broadly correlates with the Bælthav- and Pomeranian ice-marginal formations (e.g., Houmark-Nielsen and Kjær, 2003). From its local LGM perspective, the ice margin retreated into a depression spanning the entire length from northern Germany to Lake Onega in western Russia (Fig. 6; Rainio et al., 1995). This led to the formation of a number of ice-dammed lakes in Poland, Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia and Russia, and later the formation of the Baltic Ice Lake (Fig. 3). The latter had its outlets in the Öresund and Storboel depression (Figs. 3 and 6) across a landbridge between Denmark and Sweden. Although the Baltic Ice Lake persisted until the end of the Younger Dryas, at approximately 11,620 ± 100 cal years BP (Stroeven et al., 2015), it may have drained once previously at c. 13.0 cal kyr BP (Björck, 2008; Björck et al., 1996; Bodén et al., 1997).

4.2.4. The central sector

4.2.4.1. Southern Sweden. The deglaciation of southern Sweden before the onset of the Younger Dryas was characterised by a predominantly terrestrial and slow (<150 m yr\(^{-1}\)) ice marginal retreat. This retreat was repeatedly interrupted by still-stands and minor re-advances (Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001), as indicated by a series of ice-marginal formations that are particularly well developed on the western side of the peninsula (Fig. 11). In contrast, there is only one significant ice-marginal formation on the eastern side of the peninsula; the Vimmerby Moraine (Agrell et al., 1976; Malmberg Persson et al., 2007; Johnsen et al., 2009), and there has been no conclusive correlation between it and the ice-marginal formations on the western side. LiDAR elevation data, which has recently become available over southern Sweden (Dowling et al., 2013), has enabled the identification of these ice-marginal formations over longer lateral extents than could previously be identified. For example, the Vimmerby Moraine has a clear morphological continuation to the west and can be connected to the Berghem Moraine (Fig. 11). This physical evidence supports what has previously been suspected by others (e.g., Anjar et al., 2014), and it provides, for the first time, a strong spatial link between the deglaciation chronologies on the western and eastern sides of southern Sweden (see 5.2.). A well-developed pattern of esker systems and ubiquitous glacial lineations indicate that basal conditions were mostly warm-based in southern Sweden during deglaciation. However, parts of southern Sweden probably remained cold-based up until final deglaciation, resulting in limited subglacial erosion, as indicated by numerous pre-LGM glacial deposits in the area (Lemdahl et al., 2013), and extensive ribbed moraine fields (Kleman and Hättestrand, 1999; Möller, 2010).

4.2.4.2. Central Sweden and southeastern Norway. Here the ice-margin retreat was mostly terrestrial. The topography ranges from high-relief, over the plateaus and mountains of south-central Norway, to low-relief in central Sweden. The ice sheet was generally wet-based and left a ubiquitous record of striae, till lineations and eskers, which enables an accurate reconstruction of the ice margin retreat pattern compared with other sectors, although dating constraints are scarce from the inner areas (Fig. 7). The deglacial landform pattern reveals that the southwestern sector of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet retreated towards the mountains of south-central Norway while the southeastern sector continued its northward retreat, yielding a narrow ice ridge (saddle) at 10.2 cal kyr BP that connected residual ice in south-central Norway to the main ice sheet remnant in the north. This saddle was an obstruction to meltwater drainage and large ice-dammed lakes consequently formed in Österdalen (Nedre Glåmsjø ice lake) and adjacent valleys in Norway (Fig. 3), the drainage of which has been described by Garness and Bergersen (1980) and Longva and Thoresen (1991). During this ice sheet configuration the Ljungan-, Ljusnan-, and the central Jämtland complex of ice-dammed lakes began to develop in west-central Sweden, on the east side of the bordering topographic ice sheet saddle (Lundqvist, 1969, 1972, 1973; Borgström, 1989).

4.2.4.3. Southern and central Finland. The most conspicuous glacial landforms are three lobate ridges, from outer to inner – the Salpausselkä (SS) I, II, and III moraines, respectively (Fig. 6). SS I and II are considered to be of Younger Dryas age (Donner, 1978, 2010; Rainio et al., 1995; Rinterknecht et al., 2004) and are therefore synchronous with the Younger Dryas moraines in Norway, Sweden and Russia (Rainio et al., 1995). From the pattern of lineations immediately distal to the ridges, retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet just prior to the deposition of the SS I and II displayed a non-lobate structure. The well-developed drumlin zones proximal to the Salpausselkä moraines indicate that laterally well-constrained ice streams developed early in the Younger Dryas, feeding the fan-like flow structure of advancing ice sheet lobes. There is stratigraphical indication that a substantial re-advance of the ice
margin, up to 50 km (Rainio, 1993), occurred as part of the building of the Ss I Moraine. The two feeder ice streams (Punkari, 1995) transported large volumes of ice and were probably instrumental in shifting the dispersal centre of the ice sheet to the west during the Younger Dryas (Kleman et al., 1997). Areas between these ice streams, such as coastal Ostrobothnia, were subjected to slow and probably cold-based sheet flow, which preserved older landforms and organic deposits (Niemelä and Tynni, 1979; Salonen et al., 2008; Pitkärinta et al., 2014).

4.2.4.4. The Baltic Basin. The Baltic Basin is around 1500 km long and bathymetrically separated into many sub-basins (Fig. 1). Although water depths remain generally shallower than 100 m, three of the basins reach depths of 250–460 m. Retreat of the ice sheet mostly occurred with the ice margin orientated perpendicular to the long axis of the Baltic Basin. Ice sheet retreat deviates from this pattern only in the Gulf of Bothnia where it retreated obliquely to the basin long axis towards the location of the final ice remnants in the northern Swedish mountains (Andrén, 1990). In the absence of published regional flow traces on the Baltic Sea floor, the ice dynamics in the basin have been mostly inferred from clay varves, and striae and other landforms yielding ice-marginal positions and flow traces on adjacent coasts (Hörnsten, 1964; Bergström, 1968; Föö, 1980; Lundqvist, 1987; Strömberg, 1989). In contrast to North America, where rapid calving in the interior basin of Hudson Bay divided the ice sheet in discrete smaller remnants (Dyke, 2004), no such separation seems to have occurred in Fennoscandia.

Retreat along the Baltic Basin started with the decay or collapse of the extended terminal lobe of the Bælthav Young Baltic ice stream, at around 16.5 cal kyr BP. This lobe extended northwards into the Storebælt and Øresund straits, and was sufficiently thin for its flow pattern to be governed by the modest topographic relief of the southern Baltic Basin, where present-day water depths remain <100 m. Retreat through the southern and central Baltic Basin, up to the Younger Dryas position, took approximately 4000 years. The
lobate moraines east and south of the Baltic Basin are indicative of ice streaming in the deeper parts of the basin, particularly east of Gotland (Fig. 6). During the Younger Dryas, the ice margin appears to have stabilized around 150 km south of Åland, although its precise location remains elusive (Nooemets and Flodén, 2002). North of Åland, the rate of retreat sharply increased, and it appears that at least one major surge occurred in the Gulf of Bothnia, at around 10.8 cal kyr BP (Sandegren, 1929; Strömberg, 1989; Lundqvist, 2007; Kleman and Applegate, 2014). This was possibly caused by a sudden and widespread change in subglacial conditions from cold- to warm-based (Strömberg, 1989; Kleman and Applegate, 2014). A relatively deep basin in the seafloor south of Umeå (Fig. 6) may have been the initiation point for this surge, as evidenced by a convergence of striae on the coast towards this depression, and new geomorphological data from the central Gulf of Bothnia floor showing typical signs of ice streaming, such as highly elongated glacial lineations (Greenwood et al., in press).

Perhaps coeval to surging in the Gulf of Bothnia, the ice sheet margin in Finland surged as well. Initially, retreat proceeded towards the northwest, but it was interrupted by a standstill and readvance indicated by the Central Finland Ice-Marginal Formation, CFIFM (Rainio et al., 1986). The CFIFM (Figs. 5, 6 and 10) is limited to central Finland and consists of large glaciofluvial deposits (plateaux and ridges) and end moraines. Strigraphical evidence, including patterns of striae and eskers on proximal and distal sides, and its lobate outline, are all consistent with an ice margin advance through surging. Inwards from this location, ice margin retreat proceeded towards the northwest, and appears to have been rapid and uninterrupted by dynamic events, such as renewed ice streaming. The ice sheet retreated onshore at around 10 cal kyr BP.

4.2.4.5. The final deglaciation of northern Fennoscandia. Although deglacial landforms and deposits are common in northern Fennoscandia, inherited deglacial landforms and deposits are regionally important (Lagerbäck and Robertson, 1988; Kleman, 1990; Hättestrand, 1998). On many western and northern uplands only a Late Quaternary weathering mantle is present (Goodfellow et al., 2014), capping a non-glacial bedrock morphology (Kleman and Stroeven, 1997; Goodfellow et al., 2008). Although repeated glaciations on the Kola Peninsula, Russia, have left almost no lithostratigraphical record (Niemelä et al., 1993), there is a discernible deglaciation record from meltwater landforms (Hättestrand and Clark, 2006a, 2006b; Hättestrand et al., 2007). In much of northern Fennoscandia, the Late Weichselian till is a thin (1–3 m) veneer atop older glacial and non-glacial deposits (Nordkalott Project, 1986; Kleman et al., 2008). The pre-Late Weichselian glacial landscape in northern Sweden and Finland is the most important inherited element in the Fennoscandian glacial landscape. It consists of widespread Veliki moraine (dead ice topography), as well as drumlins and eskers indicating a regional ice flow towards the southeast (Hoppe, 1959; Lagerbäck, 1988; Kleman, 1992; Hättestrand, 1998; Kleman et al., 2006). The deglacial landform imprint of mainly lineations and eskers is locally well-developed, such as in northern Norway and throughout northeastern Sweden, where this landform assemblage can be traced inland to the position of the last ice remnant in the northern Swedish mountains (Nordkalott Project, 1986; Hättestrand et al., 1999; Deligar Hagström, 2006; Stroeven et al., 2011). Widespread deglaciation landforms also occur inland from the Bothnian coast (Fig. 5). In this area, abundant De Geer moraines indicate deglaciation at a calving ice margin (Hoppe, 1948). Towards the interior areas of northern Sweden, deglacial landforms are less developed, especially inland of a series of subglacial fluvial gorges cut at the highest coastline (Jansen et al., 2014), and they are often superimposed on landforms of Early Weichselian age. Glacial lakes constrain 10.1–9.7 cal kyr BP marginal positions on the eastern side of the Scandinavian Mountains and, during the earliest Holocene, most of the river valleys in northern Sweden were locations for repeated drainage events in response to ice margin failures (Elfström, 1987). The last remnant of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet vanished after 9.7 cal kyr BP in the eastern Sarek Mountains of northern Sweden (Lundqvist, 1986; Kleman, 1990; Kleman et al., 1997; Boulton et al., 2001).

5. Discussion

The deglaciation chronology of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet presented here is consistent with the last two published deglaciation chronologies by Kleman et al. (1997) and Boulton et al. (2001), and adds considerable new detail. Our reconstruction is based on additional geomorphological constraints, including many publications addressing the distribution and chronology of marginal positions in the eastern sector (Fig. S1, Supplementary dataset), which were poorly covered, and a first compilation of eskers across the glaciated domain (Fig. 5). Compared to the previous reconstructions, there are now more abundant radiometric constraints, including cosmogenic nuclide constraints which were unavailable at the time (Supplementary dataset). Hence, our reconstruction is much improved for the southern and eastern ice sheet sectors. Below, we discuss some of these aspects and analyse their implication for ice sheet dynamics.

5.1. Uncertainty in the timing of ice sheet deglaciation

The position of the LGM ice margin around the perimeter of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet is relatively well established (Ehlers and Gibbard, 2004), even if opinions can diverge on when the local maximum position was attained. However, one area where considerable uncertainties persist regarding the LGM extent of the ice sheet is in northwestern Russia. Here, different reconstructions, even those published in the last 10 years, place the LGM ice margin hundreds of kilometres apart. We have largely adopted the LGM reconstruction suggested by Larsen et al. (2014) and Lyså et al. (2014). They base their suggested ice marginal outline and chronology on a recent mapping of marginal moraines by Fredin et al. (2012) and a new dataset of OSL and radiocarbon ages from below and above LGM sediments (Lyså et al., 2014; see Supplementary dataset). Combined, these data indicate that the LGM ice margin was located further east than previously suggested (Fredin et al., 2012; Larsen et al., 2014; Lyså et al., 2014), and peaked at a later time than for the rest of the ice sheet perimeter, at around 17–15 cal kyr BP (Larsen et al., 1999; Lyså et al., 2014).

Fig. 12 shows the deviation of individual sample ages from the reconstructed deglaciation age for that location. The scatter in ages is significant (Fig. 12b–e) and, consequently, a large number of sample ages do not match the reconstructed deglaciation chronology. Of the radiocarbon ages, only 12% (0%) of the minimum (maximum) ages overlap within uncertainties with the reconstructed age whereas 71% (2%) reside within a time window of ±2 kyr around the reconstructed deglaciation age. Of the OSL ages, 29% (31%) of the minimum (maximum) ages overlap within uncertainties with the reconstructed age and 54% (31%) reside within a time window of ±2 kyr around the reconstructed deglaciation age. Of the 10Be (26Al) exposure ages, 27% (28%) overlap within uncertainties with the reconstructed age and 51% (41%) reside within a time window of ±2 kyr around the reconstructed deglaciation age. These numbers help illustrate the relatively poor fit of individual sample ages to the reconstructed deglaciation age and highlight the challenges associated with dating ice margin retreat using these techniques. The radiocarbon ages yield better results than the cosmogenic and OSL ages. Individual sample ages show...
more disparity with the reconstructed age in the early part of the deglaciation than in the latter part of the deglaciation. However, this is not generally true for cosmogenic ages because inheritance is a problem for many samples collected from surfaces preserved under cold-based non-erosive ice (e.g., Fabel et al., 2002; Stroeven et al., 2002b; Goehring et al., 2008).

5.2. A climatic imprint on Fennoscandian ice margin behaviour: a southern Sweden case study

We consider the GRIP/GISP2/NGRIP harmonized Greenland ice core record of Rasmussen et al. (2014), dated by annual layer counting, as the best calendar-year record of the Younger Dryas climatic event, which left a prominent glacial geological record in Fennoscandia (Lundqvist, 1990; Andersen et al., 1995a). According to Rasmussen et al. (2014), this climatic event spans the period 12.8–11.7 cal kyr BP in the Greenland ice core record (Fig. 13). The same record also shows five cold phases in the period leading up to the Younger Dryas (18–12.8 cal kyr BP), which is largely coincident with Greenland interstadial 1 and is the time during which southern Sweden became deglaciated (Hillefors, 1969; Berglund, 1976; Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001). The deposition of the
patchy Halland Coastal moraine belt, which is laterally confined to about 75 km, was followed by the deposition of four more-continuous and laterally-extensive end moraine belts, the Göteborg, Berghem-Vimmerby, Trollhättan, and Levene (Table 5, Figs. 5, 6 and 11). Each of these five moraine belts appears to have generally formed in response to a stand-still or re-advance of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet. We have not identified evidence, such as deviating striae patterns or lobate margin outlines, which would indicate that these moraines are a result of internal ice sheet dynamics (surge moraines). An exception is the central part of the Vimmerby Moraine, which has a lobate outline with a spaying pattern of glacial lineations on its proximal side. However, considering the clear lateral continuation of the Vimmerby Moraine to the Berghem Moraine, ice-dynamic oscillation appears to have occurred on only a minor part of the continuous Berghem-Vimmerby ice-marginal formation. Hence, the South Swedish moraines may offer the possibility to directly link the proxy climatic record of Greenland to southern Swedish glacial geology.

The Halland Coastal Moraine belt (Caldenius, 1942; Fernlund, 1993) is the oldest in Sweden. It differs from the other moraine belts in southern Sweden because, rather than being a semi-continuous single or double ridge, it is a zone up to 15 km wide with numerous parallel moraines. Individual segments are rarely more than a few kilometers long and some resemble De Geer, or washboard, moraines. The Halland Coastal Moraine belt is estimated to have an age of 18–16 cal kyr BP based on radiocarbon ages (Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001), and 17.0 ± 0.9 to 16.8 ± 1.0 cal kyr BP according to recent cosmogenic isotope dating (Anjar et al., 2014; Larsen et al., 2012). Within error margins, these ages correspond with the onset of Greenland interstadial GS-2.1a at 17.4 ka (Rasmussen et al., 2014).

The Göteborg Moraine (Hillefors, 1975) is a well-defined ice-marginal formation and, where it runs parallel to the west coast of Sweden, it also approximates the marine limit. Consequently, there may be some dynamic control on the location of this moraine segment. The 700 years preceding the GI-1d event comprises the warm Bolling phase (GI-1e; Fig. 13), during which climatically-controlled moraine formation appears unlikely. We therefore assign the Göteborg Moraine a youngest possible age of 14.7 cal kyr BP (Table 5), which marks the final cold stage of stadial GS-2.1. It has been suggested that the Göteborg Moraine continues eastward into a hummocky moraine zone on the southern Swedish highlands (e.g., Möller, 2010; Anjar et al., 2014) and similarly that other west-Swedish ice marginal formations continue eastwards into hummocky moraine equivalents on the southern Swedish highlands (e.g., Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001). However, the new LiDAR coverage of southern Sweden leads us to question this linkage eastwards of the Göteborg Moraine. Using this data we find that,
where the Göteborg Moraine laps onto the southern Swedish highlands hummocky moraine zone, it appears as one or two distinct moraine ridges superimposed on a hummocky moraine zone that lacks clear proximal and distal borders. Hence, there are no morphologically-based arguments to support a genetic relationship between them.

The most logical correlation for the Berghem-Vimmerby Moraine is the GI-1d cold phase at 14.0 cal kyr BP (Fig. 13). There is, however, a discrepancy between the varve chronology in Fig. 8 and the age assignment of the Vimmerby Moraine in Fig. 11. According to the varve chronology, deglaciation from the Vimmerby Moraine occurred at c.13.5 cal kyr BP, whereas absolute dating and our Greenland ice sheet correlation indicate that the combined Berghem-Vimmerby line is no younger than 14.0 cal kyr BP. Presently, these two data sets appear irreconcilable and, because of the difficulties in extending the varve chronology across the Younger Dryas zone, we have chosen to adhere to the radiocarbon chronology in southeastern Sweden, rather than the varve chronology. New clay varve locations south of the Younger Dryas zone, not yet connected to the STS and where tephra (Vedde ash) has been found, may help to resolve this issue (MacLeod et al., 2014).

The Trollhättan Moraine is smaller and less continuous than the Berghem-Vimmerby and Göteborg moraines. We consider a correlation between the relatively small Trollhättan Moraine and a short-lived and low-magnitude cold phase (event GI-1c2; Fig. 13), to be most plausible. Cold event GI-1c2 postdates the GI-1d cold phase by about 400 years (Table 5).

The Levene Moraine and its extension in the Oslofjord area, the Onsøy Moraine (Fig. 6; Sørensen, 1992), is the youngest of the four ice-marginal formations. These moraines are especially important because of their lateral extent (>250 km). They form a narrow belt located 5–20 km outside Younger Dryas-age ice-marginal formations (Rerglund, 1979; Hillefors, 1979; Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001). The Levene Moraine likely reflects the ice sheet margin reacting to a short-lived climatic deterioration of regional significance, shortly before the Younger Dryas event. The regional character of this climate event is further illustrated by ice margin deposits of 13.1–13.2 cal kyr BP in Central Norway about 20 km outside local Younger Dryas moraines (Olsen et al., 2013a). A comparison with the harmonized Greenland ice core record indicates that it correlates with a short, sharp, cold phase in Greenland interstadial 1 (event GI-1b; Table 5; Fig. 13) at around 13.2 cal kyr BP.

Our chronology is based on the premise that there is a correlation between the southern Swedish ice-marginal formations and the harmonized Greenland ice core cold stages. If this is correct, we argue that the correlation scheme as presented in Table 5 is the most likely and also fits within 200 years of the error margins of available radiocarbon ages for the moraines, as summarized in Lundqvist and Wohlfarth (2001). This chronology for the southern Swedish ice-marginal formations is younger than recently suggested by Larsen et al. (2012) and Anjar et al. (2014) on the basis of cosmogenic exposure ages. However, sampling of the Göteborg Moraine for the Larsen et al. (2012) study was done on the portion of this moraine that approximates the marine limit and which is closely juxtaposed to, and possibly merges with, the Halland Coastal Moraine belt. This portion of the moraine appears to have been dynamically controlled and the ice margin may have persisted at this location throughout GS-2.1a (Fig. 13). The Anjar et al. (2014) age assignments for some locations in southern-most Sweden are incompatible with age assignments for ice marginal positions in northern Germany and Denmark. OSI, 14C, and other cosmogenic isotope data indicate that the ice margin at this time was positioned close to the northern coasts of Germany and Poland (Marks, 2012), and in eastern Denmark (Houmark-Nielsen and Kjær, 2003), respectively. Our reconstruction conforms to this latter, more extensive, data set.

### 5.3. Climatic and dynamic controls on moraine formation: the Younger Dryas case study

From the record of ice-marginal formations, we infer that the ice sheet margin periodically halted in its retreat or re-advanced. An important question when utilizing such ice-marginal formations for the tracing of retreat patterns, is what controlled the interruption in retreat? The two end member answers are full climatic control, with essentially unchanged ice dynamics, and dynamic control, irrespective of climatic trends. Interruptions in retreat through dynamic controls typically result in ice margin advances as part of surges. Moraines that form from surges are well-known from the periphery of Vatnajökull, Iceland, and other major surging glaciers (Evans and Rea, 1999; Benediktsson et al., 2010; Schomacker et al., 2014). The Younger Dryas cold period resulted in an almost continuous belt of ice-marginal formations, which we analyse in terms of the controls behind the margin response.

We regard the Younger Dryas moraines in Norway and Sweden as classical examples of the effect of climatically controlled ice margin responses, i.e. they mark standstills, sometimes with minor to modest re-advances immediately prior to moraine formation. In Sweden, there is no evidence in the landform record for ice streaming proximal to the Younger Dryas moraines or for major lobation (which is an indicator of strong lateral velocity gradients caused by ice streaming; Stokes and Clark, 1999). The extreme topographic relief of Norway renders simultaneous surging in a large number of outlets as an extremely unlikely scenario.

The situation with regards to the Salpausselkä moraines in Finland is, however, more complex. The SS I and SS II moraines (Fig. 5) are also of approximately Younger Dryas age, with SS I likely being formed at the start of the Younger Dryas cold period (Rainio et al., 1995). Thus, they are broadly linked to a major cold event and have traditionally been seen as correlative to the Younger Dryas moraines in Norway and Sweden. SS II continues northeastward in

### Table 5

Southern Swedish moraine chronology from radiocarbon and varve dating (Lundqvist and Wohlfarth, 2001) and cosmogenic nuclide dating (Larsen et al., 2012; Anjar et al., 2014). We infer a slightly different chronology (this study) based on a one-to-one matching with cold phases in the harmonized Greenland ice core record of Rasmussen et al. (2014). Ages expressed in cal kyr BP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levene</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.2 (GI-1b)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trollhättan</td>
<td>14.2–13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6 (GI-1c2)</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berghem*</td>
<td>14.4–14.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0 (GI-1d)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimmerby*</td>
<td>14.6–14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0–14.7 (GS-2.1a)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Göteborg</td>
<td>15.4–14.5</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.0–14.7 (GS-2.1a)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halland Coastal</td>
<td>18.0–16.0</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>17.4–16.0</td>
<td>17.4–16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In this study the Berghem Moraine is correlated with the Vimmerby Moraine.
the Koitère Moraine and probably also further in the Rugozero Moraine (Fig. 6; Rainio et al., 1995). This continuity, over a 1000 km of ice margin distance, and with, at least, the Koitère Moraine fronting a sector of the ice sheet with little evidence for fast ice flow dynamics, supports the inference of climatic control for the formation of Ss II. The occurrence of paired deltas with an elevation difference of 26–28 m, immediately north of the Ss II, and in all likelihood reflecting the late Younger Dryas drainage of the Baltic Ice Lake, firmly anchors the Ss II to a Younger Dryas age (Donner, 1978; 2010; Saarnisto and Saarinen, 2001). Hence, we also regard the Ss II as a robust part of the circum-Fennoscandian Younger Dryas ice-marginal formation.

We note that Ss I, in contrast to Ss II, only fronts the two major lobes in southern Finland and does not continue in a northeasterly direction (Fig. 5). Although it appears that the Ss I is of early Younger Dryas age (Rainio et al., 1995), we suspect that its formation is not directly related to the Younger Dryas climatic cooling. Based on the pattern of landforms proximal to the Ss I, we instead infer that it represents a surge moraine, located at the outermost position of ice sheet lobes that indicate the development of two ice streams. In contrast, the stria record immediately distal of the Ss I indicates that sheet flow immediately preceded the Ss I event. This indicates that fast ice flow that formed the feeder ice streams commenced shortly before the Younger Dryas, during the late Allerød.

We propose that pronounced warming and surface melting during the Allerød warm period triggered the onset of ice streaming, which resulted in the formation of Ss I as a surge moraine. We further consider it plausible that this phase of ice streaming continued throughout the Younger Dryas cold period, preventing fast collapse of the lobes, and that Ss II, and possibly also Ss III, were formed during climatically-controlled standstills during the retreat from the non-climatically-controlled Ss I. In summary, our view, represented in the deglaciation map (Fig. 9), is that the Ss I and Ss II moraines fall within the Younger Dryas chronozone, and that only Ss II and Ss III are climatically controlled moraines and, in that sense, Ss II is directly correlative to the Norwegian and Swedish Younger Dryas moraines.

Ss III is a c. 10 km-wide ice-marginal formation composed of end moraines, glaciofluvial ridges, and deltas. After formation of the Ss I and Ss II during the Younger Dryas, a rapid deglaciation commenced and persisted for c. 130 years until, in south-western Finland, it was interrupted by slow retreat, standstill, and re-advance of the ice margin during c. 160 years (Stromberg, 2005). A re-advance of the ice margin is indicated by the end moraine stratigraphy (Salonen, 1990). No retarded retreat of the ice margin has been recorded in Sweden (Brunnberg, 1995), even though large glaciofluvial deposits 20–25 km south of Stockholm were suggested to be correlative (Nilsson, 1968). A more likely counterpart to Ss III is the Jaamankangas-Pielisjärvi formation in northern Karelia (Figs. 5 and 6; Rainio et al., 1995).

We regard this temporary halt in retreat, and limited re-advance, to be the result of colder conditions during the short-lived Preboreal oscillation (PBO) or “11.4 ka event” (Rasmussen et al., 2014, Fig. 13). Björck et al. (1997) first proposed a formation of Ss III during the PBO, a short-lived event that commenced 300 years after the end of the Younger Dryas, even though there was a mismatch between the timing of the event in the ice core (GRIP), dendrochronology, and varve chronologies (Björck et al., 1996). However, with the new timing of the PBO in the Greenland ice core event stratigraphy of Rasmussen et al. (2014), the PBO is dated at 11.47–11.35 cal kyr BP, only about 130–250 years after the end of the Younger Dryas, and is therefore well aligned with varve evidence for the age of Ss III.

5.4. Bedrock control on the behaviour of ice sheet margins: a northwestern Russia case study

Ice sheet margins in the southern and northeastern sectors of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet differ in style from ice margins in shield bedrock areas. In the latter, margins tend to be slightly curved, such as is the case with most of the Younger Dryas ice margin. This contrasts with the pre-Younger Dryas ice-marginal formations deposited in non-shield areas, which reflect ice margins that were much more topographically controlled and therefore show a more lobate pattern (Kalm and Gorlich, 2014). In the southern sector, such lobes typically extended tens of kilometres beyond adjacent ice margins, with a maximum of up to 100 km for ice lobes southeast of the Baltic Basin. In the eastern sector, ice lobes extended several hundreds of kilometres beyond the adjacent ice margin. There were three major lobes of this type located in the Dvina, Vologda, and Rybinsk basins (Fig. 6), and these are uniquely long for the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet. This situation is analogous to the southern margin of the Laurentide Ice Sheet, where ice marginal retreat started at 23 kyr (Ullman et al., 2015), with the exception of two ice lobes hundreds of kilometres long (Des Moines lobe and James lobe; Clayton and Moran, 1982), which attained their LGM maximum position long after adjacent ice margins, because of ice-dynamical factors rather than climatic drivers. For the ice lobes in NW Russia, Larsen et al. (2014) argued that the advances were due to ice-bed decoupling caused by a combination of successive damming of pro-glacial lakes and ice overriding waterlain sediments and tills with low shear strength. This enabled fast-flowing low-gradient ice lobes to expand into the exceptionally wide valleys and basins of the northwestern Russian Plain. We note also that these three major ice lobes at the eastern Fennoscandian Ice Sheet margin extended beyond major basins (the White Sea, Lake Onega and Lake Ladoga: Fig. 6). These basins provided the low-shear sediments and probably acted as conduits for fast ice flow, feeding the lobes with ice from interior parts of the ice sheet, possibly as a result of thawing at the ice sheet bed during early deglaciation. We infer that these dynamic ice sheet responses led to an overstretching of the ice sheet surface profile as it created the ice lobes. Given the extremely low ice surface gradients, and the warm climate following these expansions, the ice-marginal retreat following the expansion occurred uninterrupted by standstills and possibly included local areal down-wasting.

5.5. Retreat rate across different sectors

Retreat rates for different sectors of the ice sheet for the post-16 cal kyr BP evolution differ drastically. Total retreat distances towards the two final retreat centers (Figs. 9 and 14) for the southern and eastern sectors of the ice sheet during this period were on the order of 1500 km, whereas retreat distances on the high-elevation and maritime Norwegian margin during the same period amounted to roughly 150 km. Moreover, the western margin was also much closer to a state of equilibrium during overall ice sheet retreat as witnessed by several standstills and concomitant pulses of moraine formation in response to minor climatic deteriorations, such as occurred during the Preboreal (Svein et al., 1979; Corner, 1980; Solild and Torp, 1984). No correlative moraines exist on the eastern flank; here, only the Younger Dryas was a climatic cooling of sufficient magnitude to temporarily halt the recession.

In Fig. 14 we compare ice sheet retreat rates along three southern and eastern transects since local LGM. A clear, and climatically governed, pattern is apparent in all three profiles. Retreat rates were variable and high, mostly in the 50–200 m yr⁻¹ range, between 17 and 13 cal kyr BP, very slow or absent retreat
during the Younger Dryas, and rapid retreat of 200–1600 m yr\(^{-1}\) until final deglaciation. Retreat rates were highest where the ice sheet margin terminated offshore and retreated across a bed with a reverse slope (deepening water up-ice) and over bathymetric basins.

5.6. Correlation across the Baltic Basin

Inside the Younger Dryas position, correlation of ice margins across the Baltic Basin is fairly straightforward, using high-precision clay varve archives (Stromberg, 1990, 2005). Distances across the water are also shorter than in the southern Baltic Basin. Outside the Younger Dryas zone, correlations across the Baltic Basin are more difficult to make (De Geer, 1935). This is because of chronological uncertainties and the topographical complexity of the basin floor. The ice-marginal formations in coastal Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania indicate a lobe extending southwards in the Baltic Basin during the 16–14 cal kyr BP period. On the opposing coast, in eastern Sweden, eskers and striae indicate a SW–NE oriented ice margin during the same period, i.e. a calving bay configuration that is difficult to reconcile with the evidence from the eastern side. We suggest that a southward-directed lobe was confined to the deeper eastern part of the southern Baltic Basin and that the ice margin had a pronounced change of direction between Gotland and the Swedish mainland. The overall configuration of closely-spaced ice-marginal formations in southern Sweden between c 17 and 13 cal kyr BP and widely-spaced ice marginal formations in the same period on the other side of the Baltic Basin, indicates that southern Sweden was a hinge point which fixed the swinging gate of the Baltic Basin deglaciation (cf. with reconstruction of the deglaciation pattern in the Ross Sea Basin by Conway et al., 1999).

6. Conclusions

Understanding ice sheet deglaciation processes and rates is of considerable importance given current and projected melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. We have compiled and synthesized published geomorphological data for eskers, ice-marginal formations, lineations, marginal meltwater channels, striae, ice-dammed lakes, and geochronological data from radiocarbon, varve, optically-stimulated luminescence, and cosmogenic nuclide dating, to provide reconstructions of ice sheet extents for deglaciation of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, in the form of calendar-year time-slices. This is summarized as a deglaciation map of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet (Fig. 9), with isochrons marking every 1000 years between 22 and 13 cal kyr BP and every hundred years between 11.6 and final ice decay after 9.7 cal kyr BP. We estimate that the uncertainty for the post-Younger Dryas deglaciation is 100–500 years, while the uncertainty in the earlier part of the deglaciation chronology is perhaps 500–2000 years.

The ice margin morphology in plan view varies between sectors; shield areas tend to produce straight or slightly curved ice margins, even where there is a considerable topographic relief. Ice margins in non-shield areas, on the other hand, tend to be highly lobate because of the strong topographic steering that shallow topographic depressions exert on low-gradient marginal areas on deformable beds and sediments with high pore-water pressures. An extreme example of the latter is in NW Russia, where ice lobes hundreds of kilometers long extended to their farthest LGM
position well into the deglaciation period for the ice sheet as a whole. Deglaciation patterns vary across the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, reflecting both differences in climatic and geomorphic settings as well as differences in ice sheet basal thermal conditions and terrestrial versus marine termination. The ice sheet margin in the high-precipitation coastal setting of the western sector responded sensitively to climatic variations leaving a detailed record of prominent moraines and ice-marginal deposits in many fjords and coastal valleys. The southern sector includes a major contrast in retreat rates of the slow terrestrial margin retreat in western and southern Sweden and the rapid retreat of the calving ice margin in the Baltic Basin. Our reconstructions are consistent with much of the published research. However, the synthesis of a large amount of existing and new data support refined reconstructions in some areas. For example, (i) the LGM extent of the ice sheet in northwestern Russia was located far east and it peaked at a later time than the rest of the ice sheet perimeter, at around 17–15 cal kyr BP; and (ii) using new LiDAR data over southern Sweden to correlate moraines, and coupling the timing of moraine formation to cold periods in the Greenland ice core, we propose a slightly different chronology.

Retreat rates vary by as much as an order of magnitude in different sectors of the ice sheet, with the lowest rates on the high-elevation and maritime Norwegian margin. Retreat rates compared to the climatic information provided by the NGRIP ice-core record show a general correspondence between retreat rate and climatic forcing, although a close match between retreat rate and climate is unlikely because of other controls, such as topography and marine versus terrestrial termination. Thus, although many of the ice marginal features can be interpreted as straightforward responses to climate variations as recorded in ice cores, in some cases the relationships are more complex. For example, we conclude that rapid warming and surface melting during the Allerød warm period triggered the onset of ice streaming upstream of the Salpausselkä moraine in Finland, and that it represents a surge moraine.

Overall, the time slice reconstructions of Fennoscandian Ice Sheet deglaciation from 22 to 9.7 cal kyr BP (Fig. 9; provided as shape files in the Supplementary dataset) provide an important dataset for understanding the contexts that underlie spatial and temporal patterns in retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet, and are an important resource for testing and refining ice sheet models. Future work is needed to improve the chronological control in areas where dating is sparse, and to test the new interpretations provided in parts of this paper.
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