Course report KZ8011_VT20 Porous materials (7,5 hp)

Antal svar: 1


. Responsible for Course/ Kursansvarig

Responsible for Course/ Kursansvarig

Niklas Hedin


. Number of examined students / Antal examinerade

Number of examined students / Antal examinerade	Antal svar
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	0 (0,0%)
5	0 (0,0%)
	1
6	(100,0%)
7	0 (0,0%)
8	0 (0,0%)
9	0 (0,0%)
10	0 (0,0%)
11	0 (0,0%)
	1
Summa	(100,0%)



. Number of passed students / Antal godkända

Number of passed students / Antal godkända	Antal svar
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	0 (0,0%)
5	0 (0,0%)
	1
6	(100,0%)
7	0 (0,0%)
8	0 (0,0%)
9	0 (0,0%)
10	0 (0,0%)
11	0 (0,0%)
	1
Summa	(100,0%)



. Description of changes since the last time the course was given.

Description of changes since the last time the course was given.

No major changes.

. The strengths of the course according to the students (based on the students answers)

The strengths of the course according to the students (based on the students answers)

gas adsorption/ the exam/ the connection to the scientific literature

. The weaknesses of the course (based on the students evaluation)

The weaknesses of the course (based on the students evaluation)

more background/ more illustrations

. The teachers analysis on the course execution

The teachers analysis on the course execution

It ran as usual.

. Conclusions as well as suggestion on changes


Conclusions as well as suggestion on changes

The course is a mature course that likely was given the last time as a master course. If it is to be changed into a PhD course it would be time for an overhaul to adhere better to the current activities in the department (MMK) as such

. Booking and list of participants


1. The prebooked lecture rooms and halls

1. The prebooked lecture rooms and halls	
	Antal svar
Worked well	
	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	
	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	1 (100,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




2. The Course Owner double checked the bookings ahead of the course commencement

2. The Course Owner double checked the bookings ahead of the course commencement	
	Antal svar
Worked well	
	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	
	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	1 (100,0%)
	1
Summa	(100,0%)




3. The Chemistry Sections Office shared a preliminary list of course participants ahead of course commencement

3. The Chemistry Sections Office shared a preliminary list of course participants ahead of course commencement	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	(100,0%)




4. The Course Owner shared any changes to the participant list with the Chemistry Section Office.	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



. Preparations


1. The Course Owner has ensured that the course plan was followed

1. The Course Owner has ensured that the course plan was followed	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




2. A schedule was shared with the Chemistry Sections office 4 weeks before course commencement

2. A schedule was shared with the Chemistry Sections office 4 weeks before course commencement	Antal svar
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




3. A planning meeting was held with the Teachers Assistants

3. A planning meeting was held with the Teachers Assistants	
	Antal svar
Worked well	
	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	1 (100,0%)
Not applicable	
	0 (0,0%)
Current	1
Summa	(100,0%)




4. TA's and students were informed on when lab reports should be handed in and circumstances surrounding the correction of these.

 TA's and students were informed on when lab reports should be handed in and circumstances surrounding the correction of these. 	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



5. Grading criteria were shared with the students at the beginning of the course


5. Grading criteria were shared with the students at the beginning of the course	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



. During the course


1. Necessary equipment was available and worked

Necessary equipment was available and worked	
workeu	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	
	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




2. The study councillor was informed on students who were experiencing learning challenges

2. The study councillor was informed on students who were experiencing learning challenges	
chanenges	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	
	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



3. Lab reports were corrected in a timely manner after being handed in.


3. Lab reports were corrected in a timely manner after being handed in.	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	
	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




. Exam and grading


1. Examinations were done anonymously and according to examination rules

1. Examinations were done anonymously and according to examination rules	
	Antal svar
Worked well	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Summa	0 (0,0%)




2. The exam (the questions) were shared with the Chemistry Section Office 2 weeks after the course had ended






3. The exams were corrected less then three weeks after the examination

3. The exams were corrected less then three weeks after the examination	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	, ,
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




4. Th students were offered a exam review

4. Th students were offered a exam review	
	Antal svar
Worked well	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	1 (100,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)




5. The grades for the practical part of the course was shared with the Chemistry Sections Office and the Director of Studies

5. The grades for the practical part of the course was shared with the Chemistry Sections Office and the Director of Studies	
	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	, , ,
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



6. The grades for the exam was shared with the Chemistry Section Office and the Director of Studies

6. The grades for the exam was shared with the Chemistry Section Office and the Director of Studies	Antal svar
Worked well	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	
	0 (0,0%)
Summa	1 (100,0%)



Comments on the exam and grading


Everyone passed this year and we had an online exam. It was unclear if it was sufficient that the exam was on Athena or if we should have sent it to KÖL as well.

. Follow up

1. Course specific questions were developed and shared with the Chemistry Sections Office

1. Kursspecifika frågor för kursvärderingen formulerades och skickades till expeditionen


1. Course specific questions were developed and shared with the Chemistry Sections Office	
Kursspecifika frågor för kursvärderingen formulerades och skickades till expeditionen	Antal svar
Worked well	
Fungerade bra	0 (0,0%)
Worked less well	
Fungerade mindre bra	0 (0,0%)
Not applicable	1
Ej relevant	(100,0%)
	1
Summa	(100,0%)



2. The Chemistry Section Office shared the final course evaluation with the Course Owner

2. Expeditionen skickade en sammanställning av kursvärderingen till kursledaren

2. The Chemistry Section Office shared the final course evaluation with the Course Owner	
2. Expeditionen skickade en sammanställning av kursvärderingen till kursledaren	Antal svar
Worked well	
Fungerade bra	1 (100,0%)
Worked less well	
Fungerade mindre bra Not applicable	0 (0,0%)
Ei relevant	0 (0,0%)
Lj reievant	1
Summa	(100,0%)



. Additional comments on the admin for the course