Course report KZ8005_HT20 NMR in Materials Chemistry (7,5 hp)

Course report Recood_ITTEO ITTIN III Tracerials enemistry (7/5 lip)
Answer Count: 1
. Course coordinator
. Course coordinator
Course coordinator
Mattias Eden
. Number of examined students
Number of examined students
2
. Number of students who passed
. Number of students who passed
Number of students who passed
0

. Description of changes since the last time the course was given

Description of changes since the last time the course was given

The course format has remained unchanged over the past 3 years or so. This is the last time the course was given.

- . The strengths of the course according to the students (based on the students' answers)
- . The weaknesses of the course (based on the students' answers)
- . The teachers' analysis on the course execution

The teachers' analysis on the course execution

The course went fine under the circumstances (covid 19) and there is little to comment of general interest given that only 2 students took the course, and only one provided an evaluation. The most remarkable aspect is the very poor support from the teaching assistant, where data needed to write the reports were not provided until several weeks after the _deadline_ for handing in the reports. Even as of now (>7 weeks after the course ended), none of the students have passed the lab course nart

. Conclusions as well as suggestion on changes


Conclusions as well as suggestion on changes

This is the last time the course was given.

. Booking and list of participants


1. The pre-booked lecture rooms

1. The pre-booked lecture	Number of
rooms	Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




2. The course coordinator double checked the bookings ahead of the course commencement

2. The course coordinator double checked the bookings ahead of the course	Number of
commencement	Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




3. The Chemistry Section's Office shared a preliminary list of course participants ahead of course commencement

3. The Chemistry Section's Office shared a preliminary list of course participants ahead of course commencement	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



4. The course coordinator shared changes (if any) to the participant list with the Chemistry Section Office.


4. The course coordinator shared changes (if any) to the participant list with the Chemistry Section Office.	Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	1 (100.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



. Preparations


1. The course coordinator has ensured that the course plan was followed

1. The course coordinator has ensured that the course plan was followed	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




2. A schedule was shared with the Chemistry Sections office 4 weeks before course commencement

2. A schedule was shared with the Chemistry Sections office 4 weeks before course commencement	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




3. A planning meeting was held with the teachers assistants

3. A planning meeting was held with the teachers assistants	Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	0 (0.0%)




4. TA's and students were informed on when lab reports should be handed in and circumstances surrounding the correction of these.

4. TA's and students were informed on when lab reports should be handed in and circumstances surrounding the correction of these.	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



5. Grading criteria were shared with the students at the beginning of the course


5. Grading criteria were shared with the students at the beginning of the course	
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	1 (100.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



. During the course


1. Necessary equipment was available and worked

1. Necessary equipment was available and worked	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




2. The study councilor was informed on students who were experiencing learning challenges

2. The study councilor was informed on students who were experiencing learning challenges	Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	1 (100.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



3. Lab reports were corrected in a timely manner after being handed in


3. Lab reports were corrected in a timely manner after being handed in	Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	1 (100.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



. Exam and grading


1. Examinations were done anonymously and according to examination rules

Examinations were done anonymously and according to examination rules	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




2. The exam questions were shared with the Chemistry Section Office 2 weeks after the course had ended

2. The exam questions were shared wi the Chemistry Section Office 2 weeks after the course had ended	th Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	1 (100.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




3. The exams were corrected less then three weeks after the examination

3. The exams were corrected less then three weeks after the examination	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)




4. The students were offered an exam review

4. The students were offered an exam review	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100 0%)




5. The grades for the practical part of the course were shared with the Chemistry Sections Office and the Director of Studies at the department

The grades for the practical part of the course were shared with the Chemistry Sections Office and the Director of Studies at the department	Number of Responses
Worked well	0 (0.0%)
Worked less well	1 (100.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



6. The grades for the exam were shared with the Chemistry Section Office and the Director of Studies at the department


6. The grades for the exam were shared with the Chemistry Section Office and the Director of Studies at the department	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



. Follow up


1. The Chemistry Section's Office was informed if you wanted to add course specific questions (and if so; what questions) to the course evaluation or not at least a week before the course ended

 The Chemistry Section's Office was informed if you wanted to add course specific questions (and if so; what questions) to the course evaluation or not at least a week before the course ended 	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



2. The Chemistry Section Office shared the final course evaluation with the course coordinator

2. The Chemistry Section Office shared the final course evaluation with the course coordinator	Number of Responses
Worked well	1 (100.0%)
Worked less well	0 (0.0%)
Not applicable	0 (0.0%)
Total	1 (100.0%)



. Additional comments on the admin for the course