Decision
The Syllabus is approved by the board of the Department of Sociology at Stockholm University 2013-12-19.

General information
The course consists of 7.5 ECTS credits and is at the advanced level.

Course code
SO7021

Entrance qualification
A Bachelor’s degree.

Content
The aim of this course is to give an overview of modern sociological theory and a deeper understanding of some modern sociological perspectives. ”Modern sociological theory” refers to the period after the decline of functionalism around 1960 and until today. This course covers some of the important fields and perspectives in recent sociology, including e.g. cultural theory, rational choice, structural analysis, and organizational theory.

Learning outcomes
After accomplishing this course, participants are expected to:

In terms of knowledge and understanding:
• Have knowledge about the principal lines in the development of modern sociological theory.
• Understand the meaning of central concepts and theories in modern sociological theory.
• Be able to identify important similarities and differences between modern sociological theories.
• Know how modern sociology has been shaped by classical sociology.

In terms of accomplishment and competence:
• Be able to account for, and to analyze, the content of central texts by modern sociologists in a clear, well put and well-argued manner.
• Be able to use relevant parts of modern sociological theory to analyze social phenomena.
In terms of values and evaluation:

• To be able to critically assess modern sociological theories in terms of their merits and limitations.

**Instruction**

The course is provided at half-time basis over 10 weeks, from January 17 to March 18 2022. Participants meet about once a week during 9 weeks.

The instructor will give a lecture the first class, but the remaining classes will primarily center on student presentations and discussions. During these classes, a group of students will give a presentation of aspects in the course readings (first hour), followed by a discussion of the course readings based on questions provided by another group of students and the instructor (second hour). The last hour will include presentations of answers to discussed questions.

The classes rely on active student participation and all students are therefore expected to have read the required literature before each class and actively engage in discussion. Keep required literature for each class close at hand during each class.

The organization of groups and tasks will be assigned by the instructor during the first class. In order to arrange this, **attendance at the first class is crucial**. If a student plans to take the course, but is unable to attend the first class, an e-mail **must** be sent to the head instructor before 8 am on Monday 17 January in order to be included in one of the groups.

Attendance is required for all classes. Absence must be compensated by assignments. If the first class is missed, the student has to provide a short summary (2-300 words) of Abend’s article. If any of the later classes (2-9) is missed, the student has to submit short summaries (200-300 words) of each of the articles/chapters for the missed class. All supplementary assignments should be submitted together with the course paper by March 18 17:00. A student who misses more than three classes will not be graded.

If the paper and supplementary assignments are not handed in on time, there is an opportunity to hand in by 15 October or at the deadline for the paper when the course is given next time.

**Examination**

To pass, students are required to participate actively during discussions and provide questions for one class. Examination is in the form of two assignments: a course paper and an oral presentation.

**Course paper**

The student is expected to write a short paper. The length should be 3000-4000 words and formatting should be 1,5 spaced, Times New Roman 12 point. Deadline for the course paper is Friday 18 March 17:00.

The topic of the paper is free, as long as the paper discusses questions in close relation to the course contents. It is possible to address a theoretical question related to the topic of the student’s master’s thesis, or to start from a question discussed during a class. For example, the paper could use different theories or a few concepts to critically examine how they contribute to further understanding of a specific social phenomenon of interest, and/or compare which theory that is most suitable to address the phenomenon at hand. The paper could also take some area from one of the classes and analyze it from the perspective of another.
To pass, the paper must include discussion of at least three pieces from the required literature from at least two of the classes and of at least two of the books, in the list of suggested readings, using explicit references. The selection of books must add up to at least 600 pages, i.e. choosing to read some of the shorter books means that the student will have to read more than two. One of the books must be the books by Coleman, Habermas or Bourdieu in the suggested readings. The paper must also include some kind of comparison of the literature. If the paper builds on what the student presented during her/his part of the group lecture or group discussion, it must be substantially developed, including a deeper analysis and argumentation. If there is a considerable overlap with the lecture, the paper will be considered as self-plagiarism.

Voluntary assignment to assist the decision on topic
To assist in the process of figuring out a topic for the course paper, there is an assignment about half way through the course. The deadline is Monday February 20 17:00. This assignment should include information about the preliminary questions of the course paper, a couple of sentences describing the topic, and information about which readings that will be discussed (including both which articles and books that are selected for reading). Please note that this is the principal opportunity to discuss the topic of the paper with the instructor.

Student lectures – guidelines and requirements
During the student lecture, the members of the group should present the course literature for the class during about 30-40 min.

The presentation could be structured according to the readings (e.g. one student presents some aspects of one text, another student presents aspects of another text etc.) or thematically (e.g. one student presents one or several core themes of the readings each). Present some specific theme or a few key concepts or specific comparisons across the readings. Give a substantial account of core ideas. It is also good to say something about basic questions such as assumptions, level and scope of the theory. It is also very good to provide an example or tentative application of the theoretical ideas.

Please avoid reading aloud from the presented pieces and/or to summarize the content as a whole of each text.

The presentation should be approximately equally divided between all the students of the group so that each group member presents one part. It is necessary for the group to discuss together how to set up the presentation and to use PowerPoint when presenting their material.

If a student is scheduled to give a part of a lecture, but cannot attend the class in the last minute, the student should provide fellow group members with sufficient material for them to fill in the gap. The student must also provide a manuscript of his/her part of the lecture, about 700-900 words, to the course instructor. This assignment should be submitted together with the course paper by March 18 17:00.

Questions and discussions – guidelines and requirements
Before classes 2-9, a group of students should provide a list of 4-6 questions to be discussed during the class. The complete list of questions should be sent by one of the group members to the instructor at the latest at 9:00 the day before the class (or on the Friday, if the class is scheduled on a Monday), all at once.
It is good if the group discusses together before the class how to formulate as relevant questions as possible. Formulate questions that can generate elaborate discussions, rather than questions to which the answers can be found explicitly and easily in the readings (i.e. avoid questions like “how does X define Y?” if the answer to this question can be succinctly found in a few sentences in an article by X). Fruitful questions can be formulated in different ways, but the list should preferably include some question comparing the readings (e.g. what are the pros and cons of X’s concept of Y compared to Z’s Y-concept?) and some question that applies theories or concept to a social phenomenon (e.g. how would the theory of X explain/understand the social phenomenon Q?).

**Evaluation**
The course work is graded on a scale including two grades, pass or fail. The course paper is evaluated on three dimensions, on a scale from insufficient to good. The lecture is evaluated on one dimension, on a scale from insufficient to good. The questions for group discussion are not graded, but must be submitted to pass the course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lecture</th>
<th>Course paper: Extent</th>
<th>Course paper: Argumentation</th>
<th>Course paper: Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong></td>
<td>Analytical review of the literature Relevant examples</td>
<td>Extensive and deep account of the course literature</td>
<td>Critical and convincing interpretation and argumentation</td>
<td>Clear discussion of important differences and similarities of the theories/concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pass</strong></td>
<td>Clear, sufficiently extensive review of relevant aspects</td>
<td>Clear account of the course literature</td>
<td>Reasonable interpretations and argumentation</td>
<td>Identification of important differences and similarities in the theories/concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insufficient</strong></td>
<td>Irrelevant, inaccurate or unclear review Reading from the course literature</td>
<td>Unclear account of or insufficient use of the course literature</td>
<td>Unreasonable interpretations Lack of arguments</td>
<td>Unclear comparison of concept or theories</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Everything that is required for ”Good” presupposes what is mentioned for ”Pass”. Please note that ”Good” on one or more dimensions cannot compensate for ”Insufficient” on one or more aspects. All aspects must be at least ”Pass” for not failing the course. If one or more dimension is evaluated as “insufficient”, the grade is fail (underkänt).

If something is missing in a submitted assignment, it must be supplemented and resubmitted within 14 days of the result becoming available to the student.

If a student fails, or is unable to hand in assignments on time, next time to submit the assignments is 15 October.

**Schedule: Classes and reading requirements**

Attendance is compulsory for all classes and all students must read the required readings before each class. The schedule is available here
Important: If a student plans to take the course, but is unable to attend the first class, an e-mail must be sent to the main instructor before 8 am on Monday 17 January in order to be included in one of the groups who will work together on the group assignments.

Introduction, Monday 17 January
Required reading

Microsociology, Monday 24 January
Required reading
Blumer 1966; Goffman 1961; Collins 1981.
Suggested reading

Rationality and Action, 28 January
Required reading
Boudon 2003; Coleman 1986; Elster 1982.
Suggested reading

Structural Analysis, Friday 4 February
Required reading

Power, Friday 11 February
Required reading
Emerson 1962; Foucault 1982; Lukes 2007; Smith 1990.
Suggested readings

Status and Stratification, Friday 18 February
Required reading
Breen & Goldthorpe 1997; Sorensen 2000, Weeden 2002 (focus reading on p. 55-72 and p. 90-95, not necessary to read methods and results).

Organizations and institutions, 25 February
Required reading
Suggested readings

Culture, Monday 7 March
Required reading
Bourdieu 1989; Swidler 1986; Zerubavel 1996.
Version 1 December

Suggested readings

Modernity and Globalization, Friday 11 March
Required reading
Suggested reading

Literature (required)


The journal articles and Ahme’s chapter can be downloaded from the internet. The pieces by Goffman and Smith will be provided through Athena.

**Teachers**

Course instructor: Mikaela Sundberg mikaela.sundberg@sociology.su.se